श्री महावीर प्रसाद: सभापति महोदय, मैं इनको बताना चाहता हूं कि इस प्रस्ताव में जो कमियां हैं, उन कमियों को पूरा कराकर राज्य सरकार की तरफ से दोबारा भेज दें, तो मैं उस पर विचार करूंगा।

लंबित पड़ी सिंचाई परियोजनाएं

*425. **श्री मोती लाल वोरा:** †
श्री जनार्दन द्विवेदी:

क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) कृषि भूमि की सिंचाई हेतु जल उपलब्ध कराने के लिए लंबित पड़ी सिंचाई परियोजनाओं का ब्यौरा क्या है;

(ख) लंबित सिंचाई परियोजनाओं की समस्याओं को सुलझाने, बंजर भूमि तथा सूखा प्रभावित क्षेत्रों का विकास करने के लिए दसवीं योजना की शेष अवधि तथा ग्यारहवीं योजनावधि के लिए राज्य-वार कितनी धनराशि की जरूरत है;

(ग) क्या यह सच है कि राष्ट्रीय विकास परिषद् की बैठक में बड़ी परियोजनाओं के लिए राज्यों ने योगदान करने से मना कर दिया है; और

(घ) यदि हां, तो सरकार का उपरोक्त परियोजनाओं के लिए निधियों का किस प्रकार प्रबंध करने और प्रावधान करने का विचार है?

योजना मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एम०वी० राजशेखरन): (क) से (घ) विवरण सभा पटल पर रख दिया गया है।

विवरण

(क) और (ख) 01 अप्रैल, 2004 की स्थिति के अनुसार लगभग 91114 करोड़ रु० अतिशेष लागत की 169 बड़ी और 219 मंझोली सिंचाई परियोजनाएं दसवीं योजना में भी लम्बित हैं। राज्यवार ब्यौरे संलग्न विवरण-I में दिए गए हैं। (नीचे देखिए) दसवीं पंचवर्षीय योजना के मध्यावधि मूल्यांकन ने जल क्षेत्रक में सुधारों के साथ 100 केन्द्रीय वित्त पोषण सहित कुछ बड़ी परियोजनाएं राष्ट्रीय परियोजनाओं के रूप में शुरू करने का सुझाव दिया है।

सिंचाई परियोजनाओं से सूखा प्रवण क्षेत्रों को होने वाले लाभ के अतिरिक्त,सूखा-प्रवण क्षेत्रों, मरूभूमि क्षेत्रों और बंजरभूमि के लिए भी केन्द्र प्रायोजित स्कीमें क्रियान्वित की जा रही है।

---

† सभा में यह प्रश्न श्री मोती लाल वोरा द्वारा पूछा गया।

दसवीं योजना में इन स्कीमों के संबंध में पहले तीन वर्षों में किए गए प्रावधान और व्यय निम्नानुसार हैं।

कार्यक्रम	दसवीं योजना परिव्यय	दसवीं योजना के प्रथम तीन वर्षों में व्यय	वार्षिक योजना 2005-06 प्रावधान
1. सूखा प्रवण क्षेत्र कार्यक्रम	1500.00	845.19	353.00
2. मरूभूमि विकास कार्यक्रम	1100.00	615.19	268.00
3. एकीकृत बंजर भूमि विकास कार्यक्रम	1800.00	1001.77	485.00

इसके अलावा कृषि मंत्रालय में वृहत प्रबंधन के अंतर्गत वर्षा सिंचित क्षेत्रों के लिए राष्ट्रीय जलसंभर विकास कार्यक्रम नामक एक कार्यक्रम चल रहा है। नौवी योजना के अंत तक विभिन्न कार्यक्रमों के अंतर्गत 29.07 मिलियन हेक्टेयर (एम.एच. ए.) क्षेत्र उपचारित किया गया है। दसवीं योजना में कुल शेष 88.5 मिलियन हेक्टेयर क्षेत्र में से 10.9 मिलियन हेक्टेयर को कवर करने का प्रस्ताव है।

(ग) जी, नहीं।

(घ) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

Pending irrigation projects

†425. SHRI MOTILAL VORA:††
SHRI JANARDAN DWIVEDI:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the details of pending irrigation projects to make availability of water for irrigation of agricultural land;

(b) the amount needed for remaining period of Tenth Plan and the Eleventh Plan period to solve the problems of pending irrigation projects, developments of wasteland and drought affected areas, State-wise;

(c) whether it is a fact that States have refused to contribute for big projects in the meeting of the National Development Council; and

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
†† The question was actually asked on the floor of the House by Shri Motilal Vora.

(d) if so, in what manner Government propose to manage and make provisions of funds for the above projects?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI M.V. RAJA SEKHARAN): (a) to (d) A Statement is laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

(a) and (b) 169 major and 219 medium irrigation projects with a balance cost of about Rs 91114 crore as on 1st April 2004 spilled over into the Tenth Plan. State wise details are given in the enclosed. Statement I (*See* Below). The Mid Term appraisal of the Tenth Five Year Plan has suggested taking up of some of the large projects as National Projects with 100% Central funding linking to reforms in the water sector.

Besides benefits to drought prone areas from irrigation projects, Centrally Sponsored Scheme for drought prone areas, desert areas and wastelands are also under implementation. The Tenth Plan provision and expenditure in the first three years for these schemes are as under.

(Rs. crore)

Programme	Tenth Plan Outlay	Expenditure in the first three years of the Tenth Plan	Annual Plan 2005-06 provision
1. Drought Prone Areas Programme	1500.00	845.19	353.00
2. Desert Development	1100.00	1615.19	268.00
3. Integrated Wasteland	1800.00	1001.77	485.00

Also the Ministry of Agriculture has a programme under macro management called National Watershed Development Programme for rain fed areas. An area of 29.07 million hectare (m.ha.) has been treated under various programmes till the end of the Ninth Plan. It is proposed to cover 10.9 m.ha. in the Tenth Plan out of a total balance area of 88.5 m.ha.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Statement-I

State-wise Pending Irrigation Projects

(Cost in Rupees crore and potential in thousand hectare)

Sl. No.	Name of State	Number of projects			Latest Estimated Cost		Balance cost as on 1.4.2004 (Anticipated)		Balance Potential as on 1.4.2004 (Anticipated)	
		Major	Medium	Total	Major	Medium	Major	Medium	Major	Medium
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1.	Andhra Pradesh	15	9	24	17593.75	481.28	8444.49	134.51	655.64	50.44
2.	Assam	5	5	10	1677.54	163.34	1284.12	60.63	123.78	13.17
3.	Bihar	9	3	12	4444.61	180.21	2237.84	66.32	667.36	11.97
4.	Chhattisgarh	3	5	8	1742.16	190.17	236.57	113.46	126.24	31.99
5.	Goa	1	0	1	966.56	0.00	464.95	0.00	13.68	0.00
6.	Gujarat	3	18	21	31118.35	843.44	14453.64	252.49	1575.84	62.68
7.	Haryana	4	0	4	962.13	0.00	191.31	0.00	86.00	0.00
8.	Himahcal Pradesh	1	2	3	203.84	84.73	121.55	74.00	23.80	8.24
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	0	7	7	0.00	226.30	0.00	83.23	0.00	24.21
10.	Jharkhand	5	19	24	4670.05	1077.94	3246.62	656.55	418.55	83.54
11.	Karnataka	16	18	34	19175.60	1363.25	7232.26	329.92	750.93	53.76
12.	Kerala	4	4	8	1884.80	724.00	600.78	487.77	106.51	28.13
13.	Madhya Pradesh	16	5	21	17777.83	218.80	11682.48	164.52	1577.85	46.61
14.	Maharashtra	56	95	151	32543.65	7151.91	17683.61	3204.22	1917.26	403.54

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
15.	Manipur	2	1	3	639.22	63.10	230.56	37.02	44.40	7.54
16.	Meghalaya	0	1	1	0.00	57.07	0.00	34.48	0.00	5.15
17.	Orissa	10	10	20	6504.12	674.40	3413.95	210.67	472.69	29.17
18.	Punjab	1	0	1	1324.18	0.00	1196.09	0.00	0.00	0.00
19.	Rajasthan	4	4	8	6497.19	366.76	2346.45	20.27	386.54	12.12
20.	Tamilnadu	0	2	2	0.00	105.36	0.00	19.24	0.00	7.19
21.	Tripura	0	3	3	0.00	178.00	0.00	40.39	0.00	7.19
22.	Uttar Pradesh	9	0	9	7534.32	0.00	3286.93	0.00	1440.28	0.00
23.	Uttranchal	3	0	3	5334.11	0.00	5081.03	0.00	290.60	0.00
24.	West Bengal	2	8	10	2663.34	65.08	1666.92	22.55	516.76	4.03
	TOTAL:	169	219	388	165257.35	14215.14	85102.15	6012.24	11194.73	906.90

SHRI MOTILAL VORA: Sir, I would like to know from the Hon. Minister how many projects are being taken up in the current year and how much amount will be needed because the hon. Minister has mentioned that some of the large projects as national projects, with 100 per cent Centrally funding linking to reform in the water sector will be taken up. So, I would like to know from the hon. Minister which are the projects being taken up?

SHRI M.V. RAJASEKHARAN: Sir, as the Hon. Member would know, as far as the taking up of the projects are concerned, it is primarily the responsibility of the States. Sir, as per our Constitution, the State List contains water supply, irrigation, drainage, water storage. These are the subjects which come under the State. Thus, they are primarily responsible for planning and implementing and funding operation and maintaining....

MR. CHAIRMAN: Why are you reading? Every Member of this House knows about this.

SHRI MOTILAL VORA: The Minister has said that 100 per cent Central funding will done. So, I would like to know which are the projects in which you are giving 100 per cent?

SHRI M.V. RAJASEKHARAN: Sir, the Water Resources Ministry mooted a proposal for taking up certain large-scale as national projects with 100 per cent central funding. This proposal could be given a second look as work on many large projects like Sardar Sarovar, Indira Sagar and Omkareshwar, Eastern Canal, Indira Gandhi Nahar, Upper Krishna and all these projects should be speeded up. If they are taken up as national projects. It is being linked to the reform in the water works and other reform measures which have already been proposed by the Central Government.

श्री सभापति: और प्रश्न करना चाहते हैं? जवाब ऐसा ही मिलेगा।

SHRI MOTILAL VORA: Sir, I would like to know from the hon. Minister that in the State of Andhra Pradesh there are 24 projects pending. In the State of Gujarat, 21 projects are pending. In the State of Chhattisgarh 12 projects are pending. I would like to know which of these projects are being linked. I know Sardar Saravar, I know Indira Sagar and Narmada Sagar also. In the Tenth Five Year Plan, which are the projects which are being taken up by the Government of India?

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is very simple question.

SHRI M.V. RAJASEKHARAN: Sir, as the hon. Member is aware, now, under Accelerated Irrigation Benefit Programme a number of projects have been already assisted. As far as the details are concerned, Sir, I would certainly be happy to supply the list to the Hon. Member.

MR. CHAIRMAN: That is right.

श्री जनार्दन द्विवेदी: सभापति महोदय, लम्बित सिंचाई परियोजनाओं का मामला बहुत गंभीर है और वह अनाज के उत्पादन से जुड़ा हुआ है। मैं पूछना चाहता हूं कि जहां खेती लायक जमीन का कम होते जाना एक समस्या है कि जिसकी वजह शहरीकरण भवन निर्माण ..(व्यवधान)..

श्री सभापति: आप प्रश्न करिए। क्वेश्चन पर आइए।

श्री जनार्दन द्विवेदी: वहीं जो असिंचित भूमि है, उसकी सिंचाई के लिए पानी न पहुंचने की वजह से भी पिछले कुछ समय से अनाज के उत्पादन में कमी आ रही है। इस समस्या से निपटने के लिए क्या सरकार की कोई दूरगामी योजना है?

श्री सभापति: कल आपने देखा होगा। भाषण हुआ था।

SHRI M.V. RAJASEKHARAN: Now, Sir, hon. Member would appreciate that under the Bharat Nirman Programme more than two crore acres of land is being taken up for completion and this would include the projects which are already in the process and projects which are going to be submitted. Now all these things are being taken care of under the Bharat Nirman Programme.

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I wish to draw the attention of the House about the total failure of the Central Government to adjudicate the inter-State river waters dispute which has a serious bearing on the irrigation potential of our country. Sir, the Centre has not resolved any major river waters dispute nor has it been able to...

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is out of the scope of this question. Mr. Narayanan, you put a separate question and then get the reply.

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN: Sir, I am putting the question. Before putting the question I have to elicit the background.

MR. CHAIRMAN: There is no need.

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN: The Centre must have a political will to bring the Himalayan rivers to water-starved Peninsular India which has very limited water resources.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is different.

SHRI P.G. NARAYANAN: So, will the hon. Prime Minister intervene and initiate course correction in this matter?

SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR: Sir, I am indeed gratified to know that large resources have been allocated to irrigation as per the CMP. But the fundamental question is that a large amount of water is being used in the wheat and paddy cycles, leading to depletion of water tables in most States. So, there is a larger issue here. How do we dovetail our agriculture policy and our irrigation policies in a manner that the water tables do not continue to recede because this would lead to a huge water scarcity in the years to come? So, my question is: Is there a comprehensive policy that we dovetail agriculture into our irrigation policy?

SHRI M.V. RAJASEKHARAN: Sir, it is a good suggestion made by the hon. Member. All these suggestions are kept in mind when the review takes place, from time to time, and while providing the needed support from the Centre.

SHRI PYARIMOHAN MOHAPATRA: Sir, the hon. Minister be pleased to say whether it is not a fact that 50 per cent of the allocation, as per his reply, has gone only to three States namely, Gujarat, Maharashtra and Karnataka.Whereas, the backward States like Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Rajasthan and Orissa have got very much less allocation. Is it a historical accident, or, is it deliberate?

SHRI M.V. RAJASEKHARAN: Sir, as the hon. Member would know that the project proposals are primarily fomulated by the States and then the Centre will, depending upon various other factors under the Constitution, come in. Otherwise, it is the primary responsibility of the States to formulate project proposals and send the same to the Centre. Once the proposals are sent to the Centre, there are certain guidelines which have to be followed.

श्री प्यारे लाल खंडेलवाल: सभापति जी, माननीय मंत्री जी ने अपने उत्तर में अभी यह कहा है कि भारत निर्माण योजना के अंतर्गत दो करोड़ हैक्टेयर जमीन को सिंचाई के क्षेत्र में लाएंगे। इस समय देश की नदियों में धीरे-धीरे पानी कम होता जा रहा है, बड़ी-बड़ी नदियों में पानी कम हो रहा है और जब नदियों में पानी कम होगा, तो इस भारत निर्माण योजना के अंतर्गत दो करोड़ हैक्टेयर ज़मीन को वे कैसे लाएंगे? इस संबंध में मैं जानकारी चाहता हूं कि जो एन॰ डी॰ ए॰ सरकार का "नदी जोड़ो योजना" का

कार्यक्रम था, उस कार्यक्रम के अंतर्गत जल की कमी को पूरा करने के लिए जो योजना बनाई गई थी, उस पर सरकार का क्या विचार है? वह योजना पूरी तरह से कब तक लागू होगी? माननीय प्रधान मंत्री जी अभी यहां बैठे हैं, मैं चाहता हूं कि इस पर सदन को पूरी तरह से अवगत कराया जाए।

THE PRIME MINISTER (DR. MANMOHAN SINGH): Mr. Chairman, Sir the work on the Inter-linking of Rivers is going on. There are, I think, difficult issues—environmental implications and all that—and they have to be gone into before this work can proceed. But, I would, through you, like to tell this hon. House, that before coming to this House, I witnessed the signing of a Feasibility Project for Ken-Betwa Region. So, the first project DPR is being prepared. A number of other DPRs are in the pipeline. So, the work is going on. But, I think, it would be wrong on my part to promise you that early results are visible.

Payment of Compensation to Indians by Myanmar

*426. MAULANA OBAIDULLAH KHAN AZMI:††
SHRI MOTIUR RAHMAN:

Will the Minisiter of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 2275 given in the Rajya Sabha on 12th December, 2002 and state:

(a) the details of meetings held between India and Myanmar since 2003 onwards on the issue of payment of compensaton to Indians by Myanmar;

(b) the details of cases settled and those not settled so far;

(c) the steps being taken for early settlement of the remaining cases; and

(d) by when all the cases are likely to be settled?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI E. AHAMMED): (a) to (d) A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

†† The question was actually asked on the floor of the House by Maulana Obaidullah Khan Azmi.